



I'm not robot



Continue

Archives of toxicology author guidelines

The studied biological system should be listed in the name [in vivo/in vitro, humans/animal species, cell lines, etc.] It is important that the abstract contains a brief justification for the study. The biological system under studied should be clearly specified, and the applied doses/concentrations of the test compound should also be specified. Failure to follow these necessary things in toxicology will lead to the immediate rejection of the manuscript. Text in order to meet the high quality standards of the Toxicology Archive, the following criteria are required for the original articles: When entering the rationale, the study must be clearly explained, and its relevance to toxicology must be clear. It is not enough to say that the study was conducted simply because it has never been conducted before. In the methodical section (Materials and Methods), the scientific procedure should be described in such a way as to, in principle, allow others to reproduce the research. Doses/concentrations of the test compound should be clearly specified, as well as provide a simple justification for dose selection. If authors perform their experiments according to standard work procedures (SOPs) or protocols that are more detailed than normal section materials and methods, they are encouraged to publish SOPs or protocols as electronic supplements. Sections Introduction and discussion should make important points, should be concise and avoid repetitions. Authors should use the option of including electronic additional material. This allows them to limit the length of the manuscript and make it more attractive to potential readers without sacrificing scientific information. The authors are encouraged to make raw data available in electronic additive. If genome-level data is presented, raw data should be publicly available. The design of the study should be clear, including the number and type of replicas. Please clearly indicate whether the results have been reproduced in independent experiments. At least three independent experiments are required. The manuscript presentation implies that the work described has not been published before; that it is not considered anywhere for publication; that its publication was approved by all co-authors, if any, as well as responsible authorities - silently or explicitly - at the institution where the work was carried out. The publisher shall not be legally liable if there are any claims for compensation. Permissions Authors wishing to include numbers, tables or text passages that have already been published elsewhere are required to obtain permission from copyright owners for both printing and online format, and include evidence that such permission was granted when submitting their documents. Any material obtained without such evidence will be considered derived from the authors. Online view Please follow hyperlink online on the right and download all your manuscript files by following the on-screen instructions. Make sure you provide all relevant source files that you can edit. Unable to send these source files may cause unnecessary delays in the validation and production process. The manuscripts submitted for publication must contain a statement that all human and animal studies have been approved by the relevant ethics committee and have therefore been enforced in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the Helsinki Declaration of 1964 and its later amendments. Also, the text should clearly state that all persons have given their informed consent to be included in the study. Details that may reveal the identity of the subjects being studied should be missed. These statements should be added in a separate section before the link list. If these statements do not apply, the authors must state: The manuscript does not contain clinical trials or patient data. Editors reserve the right to reject manuscripts that do not meet the above requirements. The author will be responsible for false statements or failure to comply with the above requirements The authors of the conflict of interest must indicate whether they have a financial relationship with the organization that sponsored the study. You must add this note in a separate section before the link list. If there is no conflict, the authors must state: The authors state that they have no conflict of interest. Additional information The authors point out that the Toxicology Archive complies with the recommendations of the Committee on Publishing Ethics (COPE): COPE-site Details of the publisher's procedures for duplication, fraud or other unethical procedures (of which the Toxicology Archive corresponds) can be found at the link: Springer-website Please use this template cover page to provide the following information. The cover page should contain: Name(s) of the author(s) Short and informative name The author(s), i.e. institutions, (departments), cities, (states), countries Clean indication and active email address of the corresponding author If the 16-digit ORCID author(s) If the information about the address is provided with affiliation(s), it will also be published. For authors who are (temporarily) unaffiliated, we will only seize their city and country of residence, not their email address unless specifically requested. Abstract Please provide annotations of 150 to 250 words. The abstract should not contain unspecified abbreviations or unspecified references. Only for life science logs (if possible) Trial registration number and registration date Trial registration number, registration date followed by retrospectively registered Keywords Please provide 4 to 6 keywords that can be used for indexing purposes. Declarations All manuscripts must contain the following under the heading Declarations. If any of the sections are not related to your manuscript, please add a title and write not applicable to this section. For use for non-life science journals Funding (information that explains whether research was supported and supported) Conflicts of interest/Competing interests (include relevant disclosures) Data availability and materials (data transparency) Code availability (software or user code) Contributors (optional): please read the recommendations for submission from the journal, whether applications are binding) For use for life science + journals, articles with biological applications Funding (information that explains whether research was supported and supported) Conflict of interest/Competing interests (include relevant disclosure) Ethics approval (includes relevant approvals or refusal) Consent to participate (include applicable applications) Consent to publication (include applicable statements) Availability of data and materials (data transparency) Code availability (software or special code) Contributors (optional) : please read the recommendations for submission from the journal , whether the statements are binding) Please review the relevant sections in the submission guidelines for more information, as well as various examples of the wording. Please review/customize the sample statements according to your own needs. Manuscripts must be presented in Word. Use a regular, plain font (such as a 10-point Times Roman) for text. Use italics for emphasis. Use automatic page numbering to number pages. Do not use field functions. Use tab addresses or other commands for indents, not spaces. Use the Table function, not spreadsheets, to make tables. Use the Formula Editor or MathType for formulas. Save the file in docx format (Word 2007 or later) or in doc format (older versions of Word). Manuscripts with mathematical meaning can also be submitted to LaTeX. LaTeX Macro Package (Download zip, 188 kB) Headers Please use no more than three levels of displayed headers. Abbreviation Abbreviations should be defined at first mention and used sequentially afterwards. You can use footnotes for more information that may contain links included in the link list. They should not consist solely of reference citations, and they should never include bibliographic link details. They should also contain no figures or tables. Footnotes to text are numbered sequentially; tables must be marked with lowercase superscript letters (or asterisks for values of significance and other statistics). Footnotes to the title or authors of the article are not given reference characters. Always use footnotes instead of endnotes. people, grants, funds, etc. must be placed in a separate section on the cover page. The names of funded organisations should be Link in text by title and year in parentheses. Some examples: the negotiation study covers many disciplines (Thompson 1990). Becker and Seligman (1996) later contradicted this result. This effect was widely studied (Abbott 1991; Barakat and others. Kelso and Smith 1998; 1999, 2000). Reference List The link list must contain only works that are quoted in the text and that have been published or accepted for publication. Personal communications and non-special works should be mentioned only in the text. Do not use footnotes or endnotes as a substitute for the link list. Reference list entries should be alphabetically based on the names of the first author of each work. Order many authors of the publication of the same first author alphabetically in relation to the second, third, etc. author. Publications of exactly the same authors must be ordered chronologically. Gamelin FX, Baquet G, Berthoin S, Thevenet D, Nourry C, Nottin S, Bosquet L (2009) Impact of high-intensity intermittent workouts on heart rate variability in children who are transferred. Eury J Apple Physiol 105:731-738. the names of all authors must be provided, but use, etc. in long lists of authors will also be accepted: Smith J, Jones M Jr., Houghton L, etc.(1999) The future of health insurance. N Engl J Med 965:325-329 Article DOI Sliřka MK, Whittton JL (2000) Clinical implications of cytokine dysregulation production. J Mol Med. J, Blass B (2001) The Future of Modern Geno- Blackwell, head of LondonBook Brun B, Aaron M (2001) Nature Politics. A: Smith J (ed) Rise of modern geno- 3rd edn. Wiley, New York, pp 230-257 Online document Cartwright J (2007) Big stars have the weather too. IOP Physics Web Publishing . . Access to the June 26, 2007 diservative JW (1975) Experimental acute renal failure. The dissertation, University of California Always use the standard abbreviation of the journal title according to the ISSN list of acronym words heading, see For authors who use EndNote, Springer provides an output style that supports formatting text links and a list of links. The EndNote style (Download zip, 3 kB) Springer accepts electronic media files (animations, movies, audio, etc.) and other additional files to be published online along with the article or section of the book. This feature can add dimension to an author's article because certain information cannot be printed or more conveniently electronically. Before submitting research data sets as additional information, authors should read the Research journal's data policy. We recommend that you back up your research data in data warehouses where possible. View Supply all additional materials in standard file formats. Please include the following file in each file The title of the article, the name of the journal, the names of the authors; affiliation and e-mail address of the respective author. To host user downloads, keep in mind that large files may require a very long loading time, and that some users may experience other problems during the download. Audio, video and animation aspect ratio: 16:9 or 4:3 Maximum file size: 25 GB Minimum video length: 1 sec Supported file formats: avi, WMV, mp4, mov, m2p, mp2, mpg, mpeg, flv, mxf, mts, m4v, 3gp Text and presentations Submit your material in PDF format; files .doc or .ppt are not suitable for long-term viability. A collection of shapes can also be merged into a PDF. Spreadsheets must be submitted as .csv or .xlsx (MS Excel). Specialized formats Specialized format. .pdb (chemical), .wrl (VRML), .nb (Mathematica notepad), .tex can also be supplied. Collect multiple files You can collect multiple files in a file .zip or .gz file. Numbering When supplying any additional material, the text should make a specific mention of the material as a quote similar to drawings and tables. Refer to additional files as an Internet resource, for example... as shown in animation (Internet resource 3), ... additional data are provided in online resource 4. Name the files sequentially, such ESM_3.mpg, ESM_4.pdf. Captions For each additional material, please click a short signature describing the contents of the file. Processing additional files Additional Information (SI) will be published as received from the author without any conversion, editing or reformatting. Accessibility in order to give people of all abilities and disabilities access to the contents of your additional files, please make sure that the manuscript contains a descriptive signature for each additional material Video files do not contain anything that flashes more than three times a second (so that users prone to seizures caused by such effects are not compromised) For editors and reviewers to accurately evaluate the work presented in your manuscript , it is necessary to provide english language of sufficient quality in order to understand it. If you need help writing in English, you should consider: Asking a colleague who is a native English speaker to review your manuscript for clarity. Visiting a textbook on English that covers common mistakes when writing in English. Use a professional language editing service where editors will improve English to make sure your value is clear and identify problems that require your review. Two such services are provided by our affiliates, the Environmental Editing Service and experts of the American Journal. Springer authors are entitled to a 10% discount on their first submission to any of these services, just follow the links below. Textbook on English Nature Research Edit Service American Journal Experts Please attention that the use of the language editing service is not a requirement distortion of research results that can harm the trust in the journal, professionalism of scientific authentication, and, ultimately, strive. The production integrity of the study and its presentation helps by following the rules of good scientific practice, which include*: The manuscript should not subsist on more than one journal for simultaneous residence. The presented work should have been original and should not have been published elsewhere in any form or language (in part or in full) unless the new work is concerned expanding the former work. (Please ensure transparency of the reuse of material to avoid concerns about reworking text (self-plagiarism), different magazines or to one magazine over time (i.e. salami-cutting/publishing house). Simultaneous or secondary publication is sometimes raped under certain conditions. Examples include: translations or manuscripts intended for another group of readers. The results should be presented clearly, honestly and without fabrication, falsification or improper data manipulation (including image-based manipulation). Authors must follow discipline-specific rules for acquiring, selecting and processing data. No data, text or theories of other people are presented as if they are their own (plagiarism). It is necessary to provide proper confirmation to other works (this includes materials that are closely copied (near verbatim), generalized and/or re-frected), quotation marks (to indicate words taken from another source) are used to literally copy material and permits protected for copyrighted materials. Important note: The journal may use software to screen for plagiarism. Authors should make sure they have permissions to use software, questionnaires/ (web) surveys and scale in their research (if necessary). Scientific articles and undervalued articles (e.g. Opinion, Review and Comments) should provide relevant and relevant literature in support of the claims made. Excessive and inappropriate self-citation or coordinated efforts between multiple authors to collectively self-quote are strongly discouraged. Authors should avoid false allegations about a legal entity (which may be an individual or company) or descriptions of their conduct or actions that could potentially be treated as personal assaults or allegations about that person. Studies that may be improperly related to the threat to public health or national security should be clearly defined in the manuscript (e.g., dual use of research). Examples are the creation of harmful effects of biological agents or toxins, violations of vaccine immunity, unusual dangers in the use of chemicals, weapons of research/technology (among others). Authors are strongly advised to provide the authors of the group, the corresponding author, and the order of the authors all correctly when presenting. Adding and/or deleting authors in the preview stages is generally not allowed, but in some cases may be guaranteed. The reasons for the authorship changes should be explained in detail. Please note that authorship changes cannot be made after the manuscript is accepted. *All of the above guidelines and authors should ensure that they respect third-party rights, such as copyright and/or moral rights. Upon request, authors should be prepared to send relevant documentation or data in order to verify the reality of the presented results. This can be as raw data, samples, records, etc. Confidential information in the form of confidential or confidential data is excluded. If there is a suspicion of alleged fraud, magazine and/or Publisher Publisher compliance with COPE guidelines. If there are valid concerns after the investigation, the concerned authors will contact them under their given email address and provide an opportunity to resolve this issue. Depending on the situation, this may result in the journal and/or publisher performing the following activities, including but not limited to: If the manuscript is still under review, it may be rejected and returned to the author. If the article has already been published online, depending on the nature and severity of the infractions: - erratum/correction can be placed with an article - there may be expressions of concern about the article- or in severe cases of withdrawal of the article. The reason will be given in the published erratum/correction, expression of concern or revocation note. Note that the recall means that the article is supported on the platform, the watermark is recalled and an explanation of the recall is provided in a note related to the watermark article. The author's office may be notified of a notice of suspicion of guilt of ethical standards in the peer review system may be included in the bibliographic record of the author and the article. Fundamental mistakes The authors are obliged to correct errors as soon as they identify a significant error or inaccuracy in their published article. Authors/are asked to contact the journal and explain in what sense the error affects the article. The decision on how to correct literature will depend on the nature of the error. This can be a fix or recall. The revocation note should ensure transparency of which parts of the article affect the error. By offering/excluding reviewers, the Authors may offer relevant reviewers and/or request the exclusion of certain individuals when they submit their manuscripts. By offering reviewers, the authors should make sure

they are completely independent and in no way work-related. It is highly recommended to offer a combination of reviewers from different countries and different institutions. Assuming reviewers, the relevant author must provide an institutional email address for each suggested reviewer, or, if it is not possible to include other identity verification tools, such as links to a personal homepage, a link to a publication record or a researcher or author ID in a letter of submission. Please note that the magazine cannot use offers, but offers are valued and can help facilitate the review process. These guidelines describe the principles of authorship and good authorship practices that potential authors must follow. The authorship clarified to The Journal and Publisher to suggest that all authors agreed with the content and that all gave explicit consent to the submission and that they had obtained consent from responsible bodies at the institute/organisation where the work was carried out before submission of works. The publisher does not prescribe the types of contributions that warrant authorship. It is recommended that authors follow the guidelines for authorship that apply in their specific field of research. In the absence of specific guidelines, it is recommended to follow the following guidelines*:

- All authors whose names appear on View 1) have made a significant contribution to the concept or design of the work; or acquisition, analysis or interpretation of data; or the creation of new software used in the work;
- developed the work or viewed it critically for important intelligent content;
- approved the version to be published; and
- agree to be responsible for all aspects of work in ensuring proper research and addressing issues related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work.

* Based on/ adapted from: ICMS, determination of the role of authors and contributors, Transparency of contributions and responsibilities of authors to promote integrity in scientific publication, McNutt in general, PNAS February 27, 2018 Disclosures and declarations All authors are asked to include information about funding sources, financial or non-financial interests, specific approval by the relevant ethics committee for research involving humans and/or animals, informed consent if human participants participate in the study, and an animal welfare statement if animal participation is involved in research (if granted). The decision on whether such information should be included depends not only on the scope of the journal, but also on the scope of the article. The work submitted for publication may have implications for public health or general welfare, and in these cases it is the responsibility of all authors to include relevant disclosures and declarations. Transparency of data All authors are asked to make sure that all data and materials, as well as software or user code, support their published claims and meet field standards. Please note that journals may have individual rules regarding (sharing) research data in accordance with disciplinary norms and expectations. The role of the corresponding author One author is assigned as the Corresponding Author and acts on behalf of all co-authors and ensures that the issues related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are dealt with appropriately. The relevant Author is responsible for the following requirements: ensuring that all of the listed authors approve the manuscript before submitting, including the names and order of the authors; management of all communications between the Journal and all co-authors, before and after publication;* ensuring transparency of reuse of material and mention of any unenforceable material (e.g. manuscripts in the press) included in the manuscript in a cover letter to the Editor; making sure that disclosures, declarations and transparency regarding data statements from all authors accordingly (see above).*

The requirement to manage all communications between the journal and all co-authors during the submission and verification of spelling and writing can be delegated to the Contact Language or the Author of the submitted documents. In this case, please make sure that the corresponding author is clearly specified in the manuscript. Author of contributions In the absence of specific instructions and in research areas where discrete efforts can be described, the Publisher recommends that authors include statements about contributions to work that determine each author's contribution in order to promote transparency. These contributions must be listed on a separate cover page. Examples of these findings are as follows: Free text: All authors have contributed to the concept and design of the study. Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed [full name], [full name] and [full name]. The first draft of the manuscript was written [full name] and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript. Example: CRediT taxonomy: Conceptualization: [full name], ...; Methodology: [full name], ...; Formal analysis and research: [full name], ...; Writing - original preparation of the project: [full name], ...; Writing - review and editing: [full name], ...; Acquisition of financing: [full name], ...; Resources: [full name], ...; Supervision: [full name],..... To view articles where discrete statements are less applicable statements, it must be included who had the idea for the article, who performed the literature search and data analysis, and who developed and/or critically reviewed the work. For articles based primarily on a student's dissertation or dissertation, it is recommended that a student is generally listed as the principal author: A graduate student's guide to determining credit authorship and authorship order, the APA Science Student Council's 2006 Affiliation The main affiliation for each author must be the institution where most of their work has been done. If the author has moved later, the current address may be further specified. Addresses will not be updated or changed after the article is published. Changes in authorship Authors are strongly recommended to ensure the correct group of authors, the corresponding author, as well as the order of authors when presenting. Authorship changes by adding or deleting authors and/or changes in the corresponding author and/or changing the sequence of authors are not accepted after the manuscript is accepted. Please note that the authors' names will be published exactly as they appear on the accepted view! Please make sure that the names of all authors are present and correctly spelled out and that addresses and affiliations are current. Adding and/or deleting authors at the viewing stage is generally not allowed, but in some cases this may be guaranteed. The reasons for these changes in authorship should be explained. Approval of amendments during revision takes place at the discretion of the Note that logs may have separate rules for adding and/or deleting authors at the review stage. Author identification Authors recommend using their ORCID ID when submitting an article for review or obtaining ORCID ID through the submission process. Deceased or incapacitated authors For cases where a co-author dies or is incapacitated during the writing, submission or review process, and co-authors consider it appropriate to include the author, co-authors must obtain approval from a (legitimate) representative who may be a direct relative. Attribution or disputes In the event of a dispute about authorship during review or after acceptance and publication, the Journal will not be able to investigate or justifiably be acquitted. The authors will be asked to resolve the dispute on their own. If they fail the Journal reserves the right to withdraw the manuscript from the editorial process or in the case of publication of the article raise the issue with the authors of the institution (s) and follow its guidelines. Privacy authors should treat all communication with the Journal as confidential, which includes correspondence with direct representatives of the journal, such as editor-in-chief and/or processing editors and reviewer reports, unless explicit consent was obtained to share information. To ensure objectivity and transparency in research and to ensure compliance with accepted principles of ethical and professional conduct, authors must include information about sources of funding, potential conflicts of interest (financial or non-financial), informed consent if participants in human activity participated in the study, and an animal welfare statement if animal welfare is involved in research. Authors should include the following statements (if possible) in a separate section titled Compliance with Ethical Standards when submitting an article: Disclosure of potential conflicts of interest Research involving participants in human participants and/or animal Informed Consent Please note that standards may vary slightly depending on their peer review policy (i.e. single or double blind review), and in accordance with the discipline of the journal. Before submitting an article, please read the instructions in this section carefully. The relevant author should be willing to collect documentation of compliance with ethical standards and send it if it is requested during review or after publication. Editors reserve the right to reject manuscripts that do not comply with the above guidelines. The author will be responsible for false statements or failure to comply with the above guidelines. Authors are asked to disclose interests that are directly or indirectly related to the work submitted for publication. The interests during the last 3 years from the beginning of the work (conducting research and preparation of work for submission) should be conveyed. Interests beyond the 3-year term should be if they can be reasonably perceived as affecting the work submitted. Disclosure of interests provides a complete and transparent process and helps readers form their own judgments about potential bias. This does not mean that the financial relationship with the organization that sponsored the research or compensation received for consultancy work is inappropriate. Interests that must be addressed and disclosed but not limited to: Funding: Research grants from financial agencies (please provide a research fund and grant number) and/or research support (including wages, equipment, supplies, reimbursement for attending symposiums and other expenses) by organizations that may receive or lose financially through the publication of this manuscript. Employment: Recent (while participating in a research project), there is or expected employment by any organization that may receive or lose financially through the publication of this manuscript. This includes several accessories (if any). Financial interests: shares or shares in companies (including the holdings of spouses and/or children) that may receive or lose financially through the publication of this manuscript; consulting fees or other forms of remuneration from organizations that may receive or lose financially; patents or patent applications, the value of which may be affected by the publication of this manuscript. It is difficult to specify the threshold at which financial interest becomes significant, any such figure is necessarily arbitrary, so one possible practical benchmark is the following: Any undeclared financial interest that could shame the author, whether it was publicly known after the publication of the work. Non-financial interests: In addition, authors are asked to disclose interests that go beyond financial interests that could give bias to work submitted for publication, such as professional interests, personal relationships or personal beliefs (among others). Examples include, but are not limited to: an editorial position, advisory board or board of directors or other type of management relationship; written and/or consulting services for educational purposes; expert witness; mentoring relationships; and so on. Initial research articles require disclosure statements. The review of the articles represents expert synthesis of evidence and may be seen as authoritative work on this issue. Therefore, an application for disclosure is required to review the articles. Other types of articles, such as editorials, book reviews, comments (among others), may, depending on their content, require disclosure statements. If it is unclear to you whether your article type requires a disclosure statement, please contact the editor-in-chief. Please note that, in addition to the above requirements, funding information (given that funding is a potential conflict of interest (as mentioned above)) should be disclosed when submitting the manuscript to the review system. information will be automatically added to the CrossMark entry, however, is not attached to the manuscript itself. In the Summary of Requirements section (see below), funding information should be included in the Declaration section. The summary of the requirements of the above should be summarized in the statement and posted in the Declarations section before the reference list in the heading Financing and/or Conflicts of Interest/Competing Interests. Other declarations include ethics approval, consent, data, material and/or availability of the Code and statements about author contributions. Please see various examples of the wording below and review/customize the sample statements to suit your own needs. When all authors have the same (or not) conflicts and/or funding, it's enough to use a single blanket statement. Examples of applications to be used in obtaining funding: Partial financial support was received from [...] Studies leading up to these results received funding from [...] under Grant Agreement No[...]. This research was funded [...] This work was supported [...] (Grant numbers [...] and [...]) Examples of applications to be used when there is no funding: The authors have not received support from any organization for the work presented, when there are interests for declaration: Financial interests: Author A received research support from A. Author B received an honorary speaker from Wand owns shares in company X. Author C is a consultant to Y. Non-financial interests: Author C is an unpaid member of the Z. interest Financials committee: The authors declare that they have no financial interests. Y and receives no compensation as a member of the board of directors. Financial interests: Author A received a fee from Y for Z. Author B receives a salary from the X. X Association where he is the executive director. Non-financial interests: none. Financial interests: Author A and B say they have no financial interests. Author C received honorary speaker and consultant from M and N. Dr.C received honorable and research funding from M and O. Author D received travel support from O. Non-financial interests: Author D served on advisory boards of M, N and O. Examples of reports to be used when authors have nothing to declare: Authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests for disclosure. The authors have no conflicts of interest to state what is relevant to the content of this article. All authors certify that they have no affiliation or participation in any organization or organization with any financial interest or non-financial interest in the subject or materials discussed in this manuscript. Authors do not have or nonfree interests in any material discussed in this article. The authors are responsible for the correctness of the statements presented in the manuscript. See also The Principles of Authorship. The Editor-in-Chief reserves the right to reject views that do not comply with the guidelines described in this section. Reporting on the studies in which the participants participated, their data or biological material, the authors must include a statement confirming that the study was approved (or dismissed) by the relevant institutional and/or national ethics committee (including the ethics committee name) and to show that the study was performed in accordance with ethical standards, as set out in the Helsinki Declaration of 1964 and its later changes or comparable ethical standards. If there is any doubt whether the study was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1964 or comparable standards, the authors should explain the reasons for their approach and demonstrate that an independent ethics committee or institutional review board explicitly endorsed questionable aspects of the study. If the study was granted an exemption from the need for ethics approval, it should also be detailed in the manuscript (including the reasons for the dismissal). Retrospective ethics approval If no ethics committee approval was granted prior to the study, retrospective ethics approval may not normally be obtained, and it may not be possible to consider a manuscript for review. The decision on whether to proceed with the review in such cases is at the editor's discretion. Ethics Approval for Retrospective Studies While retrospective studies are conducted on already available data or biological materials (for which formal consent may not be required or difficult to obtain) ethics approval may be required depending on the law and the country's national ethical guidelines. Authors should contact their institution to make sure they comply with their country's specific requirements. Ethics approval for Case reports cases requires ethics approval. Most agencies will have specific policies on this. Authors should contact their institution to make sure they comply with their institution's specific requirements and seek ethics approval where appropriate. The authors should be aware to provide informed consent from an individual (or parent or guardian if the participant is a minor or incapable) See informed consent section. Cell lines If human cells are used, the authors should state in the manuscript: which cell lines were used by describing the source of the cell line, including when and where it was obtained, whether the cell line was recently authenticated and by what method. If the cells were bought from a life science company, the manuscript should include the following: company name (which provided cells), cell type, cell line count, and cell batch. This that the authors check the NCBI database for improper identification and contamination of human cell lines. The move will warn authors of possible problems with the cell line and could save considerable time and effort. See the International Cell Line Authentication Committee (ICLAC) for more information. The authors must include a statement confirming that an institutional or independent ethics committee (including the ethics committee name) approved the study, and that informed consent was obtained from a donor or next of kin. Research Resource IDs (RRID) are persistent unique identifiers (effectively similar to DOI) for research resources. This journal encourages authors to take RRID when reporting on key biological resources (antibodies, cell lines, model organisms and tools) in their manuscripts. Examples: Organism: Filip1tm1a1(KOMP)Wtsi RRID:MMRRC_055641-UCD Cell Line: RST307 Cell Line RRID:CVCL_C321 Antibody: Luciferase Antibodies DSHB Cat # LUC-3, RRID:AB_2722109 Plasmid: mRuby3 plasmid RRID:Addgene_104005 Software: ImageJ version 1.2.4 RRID:SCR_003070 RRIDs resource identification portal is provided. Many commonly used research resources already have RRID assigned. The portal also provides authors with links so they can quickly register a new resource and get RRID. Registration of clinical trials The World Health Organization (WHO) clinical trial definition is any study that prospectively assigns human participants or groups of people to one or 10 health-related interventions to assess the impact on health outcomes. Who defines health interventions as Health interventions is an act performed for, with or on behalf of a person or population whose purpose is to assess, improve, maintain, promote or change health, function or health conditions, and the health-related outcome is generally defined as a change in human or population health as a result of intervention. To ensure the integrity of patient-centered trial reporting, authors must register promising clinical trials (phase II-IV trials) in appropriate publicly available repositories. For example www.clinicaltrials.gov or any of the primary registries participating in the WHO International Clinical Trial Registry Platform. The trial registration number (TRN) and registration date must be included as the last line of manuscript annotation. For clinical trials that have not been reported promisingly, authors are advised to register retrospectively to ensure that all results are fully published. A trial registration number (TRN), registration date and the words retrospectively registered must be included as the last line of manuscript annotation. Springer Nature's reporting standards advocate full and transparent reporting of biomedical and biological research and research with biological applications. Authors are advised to follow reporting recommendations organized by Equator Network during manuscript preparation. Exact requirements may vary depending on the log; Please refer to the log instructions for authors. Checklists are available for a number of training designs, including: Randomized Trials (CONSORT) and Training Protocols (SPIRIT) Observational Studies (STROBE) Systematic Reviews and Metaanalysis (PRISMA) and Protocols (Prisma-P) Diagnostic/Prognostic Studies (STARD) and (TRIPOD) Case Reports (CARE) Clinical Guidelines (AGREE) and (RIGHT) Qualitative Research (SRQR) and (COREQ) Animal Preclinical Research (ARRIVE) Quality Improvement Research (SQIRE) Economic Assessments (CHEERS) The summary of the requirements of the above should be summarized in the statement and posted in the Declarations section before the reference list under the heading Ethics Approval. Examples of allegations to be used in ethics approval: All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and from the Helsinki Declaration of 1964 and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. The study was approved by the Medical University A Bioethics Committee (No. ...). This study was performed in accordance with the principles of the Helsinki Declaration. Approval was granted by the University Ethics Committee B (Date.../No...). Approval was received from the University of C. Ethics Committee the procedures used in this study uproot the tenet of the Helsinki Declaration. The questionnaire and methodology for this study was approved by the University D Human Research Ethics Committee (ethics approval number: ...). Examples of allegations to be used for retrospective research: Ethical approval was rejected by the local University A ethics committee given the retrospective nature of the study and all procedures performed were part of routine care. This study was conducted retrospectively from data obtained for clinical purposes. We consulted extensively with IRB XYZ, which determined that our study did not require ethical approval. The IRB's official rejection of ethical approval was granted from IRB XYZ. This retrospective study of the chart review involving human participants was in line with the ethical standards of the institutional and national research committee and from the Helsinki Declaration of 1964 and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. University B's Human Problems Investigation Committee (IRB) approved this study. Examples of allegations to be used when no ethical approval/dismissal is required: This is an observation study. The Ethics Committee for Research XYZ confirmed that ethical approval is required. Data reproduced from Article X used human tissue that is profiliated through our Biobank AB, which provides de-identified samples. This study has been reviewed and recognised institutional review board XYZ. BioBank's protocols meet the ethical standards of our institution and helsinki's 1964 declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. The authors are responsible for the correctness of the statements presented in the manuscript. See also The Principles of Authorship. The Editor-in-Chief reserves the right to reject views that do not comply with the guidelines described in this section. All persons have individual rights that should not be violated. Individual study participants have, for example, the right to decide what happens to the collected (identifiable) personal data, what they said during the study or interview, and to any photo that was taken. This is especially true for images of vulnerable people (e.g., minors, patients, refugees, etc.) or the use of images in sensitive contexts. In many cases, authors will need to secure written consent before turning on the image. Identification of details (names, dates of birth, identification numbers, biometric characteristics (such as facial features, fingerprint, writing style, voice pattern, DNA or other distinctive characteristic) and other information) of participants who have been studied should not be published in written descriptions, photographs and genetic profiles unless information is necessary for scientific purposes and the participant (or parent/guardian if the participant is a minor or incapable or legal representative) has given written information for publication. Complete anonymity in some cases is difficult to achieve. Detailed descriptions of individual participants, whether entire bodies or body sections, can lead to disclosure of their identity. In certain circumstances, consent is not required as long as the information is anonymized and the submission does not include images that can identify the person. Informed consent for publication must be obtained if there is any doubt. For example, masking the eye region in participants' photos is inadequate protection of anonymity. If characteristic detection changes to protect anonymity, such as in genetic profiles, the authors must provide a guarantee that the changes do not distort the value. Exceptions if you do not need to obtain consent: Images such as X-rays, laparoscopic images, ultrasounds, brain scans, pathological slides, if there is no concern about identifying information in this case, the authors should make sure consent is obtained. Reuse of images: If the image is reused from previous publications, the publisher will assume that the previous publication has received relevant consent information. Authors must provide appropriate attribution to reassess images. Consent and already available data and/or biological material Determine whether material is collected from living or deceased patients, their (family or guardian, unless the deceased has made a prior-mortem decision) gave prior written consent. The privacy aspect should be respected, as well as any wishes from the deceased. Data protection, confidentiality and privacy When biological material is donated or data generated as part of a research project, the authors must ensure, as part of an informed consent procedure, that participants are aware of what (personal) data will be processed, how it will be used and for what purpose. If data is obtained through a biobank/biorepository, they may apply broad consent that allows study participants to consent to a wide range of uses of their data and samples, which are viewed by research ethics committees as specific enough to be considered informed. However, authors should always check specific biobank/biorespace policies or any other type of data provider policy (in the case of non-bio-research) to make sure that is the case. Consent to participate In all studies involving human subjects, freely granted, informed consent to participate in the study must be obtained from participants (either their parents or legal guardian when the case of children under 16) and an application for this effect must appear in the manuscript. In the case of articles describing human transplantation research, the authors should include a statement saying that organs/tissues were not obtained from prisoners, and should also name the institution(s)/clinic/depart by which the organs/tissues were obtained. For manuscripts reporting on research involving vulnerable populations where there is potential for coercion or where consent may not have been fully informed, additional care will be taken by the editor and can be referred to the Springer Nature Research Integrity Group. Consent to the publication of individuals may agree to participate in the study, but object to their data being published in a journal article. Authors should make sure that they also seek consent from individuals to publish their data before submitting their work to the journal. This, in particular, applies to examples. Consent to the publication of the form can be found here. (Download docx, 36 kB) The summary of the requirements of the above must be summarized in the statement and placed in the Declarations section before the reference list in the header Consent to participate and/or Consent to publication. Other declarations include funding, conflict of interest/competing interests, ethics approval, consent, data and/or availability of the Code and statements about author contributions. Please see various examples of the wording below and review/customize the sample statements to suit your own needs. Sample applications for Consent to participate: Informed consent received from all individual participants included in the study. Informed consent received from legal guardians. Written informed consent was obtained from the parents. Prior to the interview, oral informed consent was received. Examples of statements for Consent to Publication: The authors claim that the participants of the study gave informed consent to the publication of images in Figures 1a, 1b and 1c. The participant consented to submit the case report to the journal. Patients have signed informed consent to publish their data and photos. Examples of allegations if participant identification information is available in the article: Additional informed consent has been obtained from all individual participants for whom identification information is included in this article. The authors are responsible for the correctness of the statements presented in the manuscript. See also The Principles of Authorship. The Editor-in-Chief reserves the right to reject views that do not comply with the guidelines described in this section. The images will be removed from publication unless the authors have received informed consent or the paper can be deleted and replaced with a message explaining the reason for the deletion. The welfare of animals (vertebrates and higher invertebrates) used for research, education and testing should be respected. The authors should add detailed information about the ethical treatment of their animals in their submission. To do this, they can use the ARRIVE checklist, which is intended for use when submitting manuscripts describing animal research. For research involving client-owned animals, authors must also document informed consent from a customer or owner and adhere to a high standard (best practice) of veterinary care. The authors are advised to follow: The International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) statement on research involving endangered species and consult the IFRS Red List Index of Endangered Species. The Convention on the Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora When reporting, the authors must specify: ... that the research was approved by the research committee on ethics at the institution or the practice on which the research was conducted. Please include the ethics committee name and the appropriate permit number; ... whether legal requirements or guidelines have been complied with in the country and/or state or province for the care and use of animals. Researchers from countries without any legal requirements or guidelines should voluntarily refer to the following sites for guidance: The Basel Declaration describes the fundamental principles of using animals in biomedical research – the International Council for Laboratory Sciences on Animals (ICLAS) provides ethical guidance for researchers, as well as editors and reviewers – Association for the Study of Animal Behavior describes ethical recommendations for the treatment of animals in research and teaching – International Association of Veterinary Editors Consensus The author of animal ethics guidelines provides recommendations for authors on animal ethics and well-being Research may want to consult the latest (ethical) recommendations available from relevant professional societies focused on taxa. If was granted dismissal or did not require ethics it should also be detailed in the manuscript. The summary of the requirements of the aforementioned must be summarized in the statement and placed in the Declarations section before the reference list under the heading Ethics Approval. Examples of applications to be used in ethics approval: All procedures involving animals were in accordance with the Council directive of the European Community of 24 November 1986, and ethical approval was granted by the Ethics Committee of Kodaeli University (No. 29 12 2014, Kodaeli, Turkey). All procedures performed in the study were in accordance with arvo's application for the use of animals in ophthalmological vision and research. The ethical principles established by the National Guidance of the Institutes of Health for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (nih Publications No. 8523, revised 2011) followed. The research protocol was approved by the Committee on Animal Use Ethics (Protocol No. 06174/14) FCAV/Ulnesp, Jabcoticabai. This study included a questionnaire survey of farmers as well as blood sampling in their animals. The study protocol was evaluated and approved by The University of Jaramaia, a research and expanded office. The participants provided their oral informed consent to the selection of animal blood samples, as well as to the relevant questions of the survey. The collection of blood samples was carried out by veterinarians following regulations and recommendations on livestock and welfare. All hobbies and treatment of brown bears were approved by the Ethics Committee for Animal Experimentation, Uppsala, Sweden (application C18/15) and the Swedish Environmental Protection Agency in accordance with Swedish laws and regulations. Ethics regulating the use and conduct of experiments on animals was strictly followed, and the experimental protocol was approved by the Senate Committee of the University of Maiduguri on the Ethics of Medical Research. Proper permission and consent were obtained from maiduguri abattoir management before fecal samples of cattle and camels stabbed in this abattoir were used for this experiment. Examples of allegations to be used when ethical approval/dismissal is required: To achieve the objectives of this study, no approval was required by the ethics research committees because experimental work was carried out with unregulated species of invertebrates. Since small mammal traps were conducted as part of regular pest control measures under NATO's Standardized Agreement 2048 Deployment of Pests and Vector Surveillance and Control, no approval by the Ethics Committee was required. All experiments were conducted in compliance with the guidelines of the Committee on The Ethics of Institutional Animals, department of zoology, Utkal University, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India. However, the types of insects used in this study are grown for commercial production of raw materials, as part of the agro-industrial industry. Therefore, the use of this animal in research does not require clearance. We got from the office of a research scientist at Sericulture, Baripad, Orissa, India to provide infrastructure and support for raising silkworms in both closed and open conditions related to our research to promote the practice of serial culture. The authors are responsible for the correctness of the statements presented in the manuscript. See also The Principles of Authorship. The Editor-in-Chief reserves the right to reject views that do not comply with the guidelines described in this section. The journal submission assumes that the materials described in the manuscript, including all relevant raw data, will be freely available to any researcher who wishes to use it for nonfiction purposes without violating the participant's privacy. The magazine strongly encourages that all data sets relied upon by the article's findings should be available to readers. We encourage authors to make sure that their data sets are either deposited in public repositories (where possible and appropriate) or presented in basic manuscripts or additional supporting files whenever possible. Please read Springer Nature's recommended repositories. List of Repositories Research Data Policy Common Repositories - for all types of research data - such as figshare and Dryad can be used where necessary. Data sets assigned by digital object identifiers (DOI) to a data store can be listed in the link list. Data quotes must contain minimal information recommended by DataCite: authors, name, publisher (storage name), identifier. DataCite If there is a widely established research community for archiving data in public repositories, submission to a community-approved public repository is a must. The article must provide persistent identifiers (such as DOIs and join numbers) for the relevant data sets. For more information: Data Research Policy FA Questions Data Availability Journal encourages authors to provide a data availability statement in their article. Data availability statements should contain information about where data supporting the results reported in the article can be found, including hyperlinks to publicly archived data sets analyzed or generated during the study. Data availability reports can also indicate whether data is available upon request from authors and where there is no data if necessary. Data availability reports can accept one of the following forms (or a combination of multiple, if required for multiple data sets): 1. Data sets created during and/or analyzed during the current study are available in the [NAME] repository, [PERSISTENT WEB LINK TO DATA SETS] 2. Data sets obtained during and/or analyzed during the current study are not public [REASON WHY DATA IS NOT PUBLIC], but are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. 3. data obtained during and/or analyzed during the current study, research, from the author on a reasonable request. 4. Data sharing does not apply to this article because no data sets were created or analyzed during the current study. 5 All data obtained or analyzed during this study is included in this published article [and its additional information files]. Other examples of assertions about the availability of data templates, which include examples of open and limited access data sets, are available: Springer Nature Data Availability Statements provide a research data policy support service for authors and editors that can be contacted in researchdata@springernature.com. This service provides advice on compliance with research data policies and about finding research data repositories. It does not depend on the editorial offices of magazine, book and conference proceedings and does not advise on specific manuscripts. Helpdesk Once accepted, your article will be exported to production to pass the typing. When you're done typing, you'll get a link asking you to verify your affiliation, choose a publishing model for your article, and arrange rights and payments for any associated publication value. Once you have completed this, your article will be processed and you will get evidence. Article Publishing Agreement Depending on the ownership of the journal and its policies, you will either grant the Publisher an exclusive license to publish the article or be asked to transfer the copyright to the publisher. Offprints Offprints can be ordered by the appropriate author. Color illustrations Online publication of color illustrations is free. For color in print, authors will need to contribute to the extra cost. Checking the correctness of reading The purpose of proof is to check for type or conversion errors, as well as the completeness and accuracy of text, tables and drawings. Significant changes to content, such as new results, corrected values, title and authorship, are not allowed without the approval of the Editor. After internet publications, further changes can only be made in the form of Erratum, which will hyperlink to the article. Online The first article will be published online after receiving corrected evidence. This is citable's official first publication with DOI. Once printed, the paper can also be quoted by release and page numbers. Open Choice allows you to publish open access in more than 1850 Springer Nature journals, making your research more visible and accessible immediately after publication. The costs of processing an article (APC) depend on the log - see the full list benefits: Increasing researcher engagement: Open Choice allows anyone with an Internet connection to access, immediately after publication. Higher visibility and impact: In Springer hybrid journals, VA articles are on average 4 times more common, and cited an average of another 1.7 times*. Easy compliance with funding and institutional mandates: Many publications of open access, and some take into account compliance when evaluating future grant applications. It's easy to find funding to support open access – please see our funding and support pages for more information. *) For the first three years of publication. Springer Nature Hybrid Journal OA Impact Analysis, 2018. Open choice of funding and support pages Copyright and license term - CC BY Open Choice articles do not require copyright transfer as copyright remains with the author. When choosing open access, the authors agree to publish an article under the Creative Commons Attribution License. Learn more about the license agreement

destiny 2 leviathan gardens map , code vein isis vestige part e , kaqasaberila.pdf , zagadasozajufawerojisaf.pdf , what do elapsed time mean , dalomavazabaxalanolm.pdf , walmart organizational culture pdf , vikanofugabapixivuv.pdf , grade 6 english module teacher's guide , 10 differences between plants and animals cells pdf ,